

SCIENTIFIC REPORT SPIRITUAL HEALING OF REV. ALEX ORBITO

by Dr. Jan van Hemert, Ph.D, 2004.

Index:

- Explanation and motivation research
- 1) Research done by Jan van Hemert, Ph.D
- A Category in diagnosis
- B Therapeutic results
- C Comparison qua prognosis between Conventional medicine and Treatments of Alex Orbito
- 2) Research done by Lee Pulos, Ph.D (Canada)
- 3) Research done by Sigrid Seutemann, homeopathic physician (Germany)

Explanation and motivation research

In this scientific research, I have limited myself to validate certain cures of serious health problems by studying the medical dossiers before and after treatment with Mr. Alex Orbito. I did not study the healing method called spiritual healing or psychic surgery, since our present science still has very limited understanding of the phenomena of “materialization”. Likely this ought to be studied by metaphysics, which studies might take a life time.

In this scientific report, I have based myself on the following criteria:

- 1 What motivates people to visit Mr. Alex Orbito?
2. What type of sickness do people have when they visit Mr. Alex Orbito?
3. What is the result per patient via the conventional medicine?
4. What can be expected of spiritual healing and in particular of Mr. Alex Orbito?

In this whole research, I have only taken strictly medical and scientific material into consideration, thus maintaining complete neutrality and objectivity in its outcome.

Ad 1. It is proven from scientific reports, that the most prominent motivation for people to visit Mr. Alex Orbito is caused by the fact that the conventional method did not give the desired result (see reports later on). It is very rare that Alex Orbito is the first therapeutic encounter for those seeking to restore health.

Ad 2. Extensive literature shows that no medical diagnosed sickness is being excluded from treatment, not even genetically defined sicknesses.

The following pages will show which research method was used in order to measure the effectiveness of Mr. Alex Orbito's treatments.

I) Research by Dr. Jan van Hemert, Ph.D

From a detailed study on patients who were treated by Alex Orbito, we saw the following results:

A. CATEGORY BY DIAGNOSIS

In a group of 124 medical cases, the following diagnosis had been made:

I Tumors : the diagnosis of tumors had been made in 48 cases, which is 38,7 % of the total study group. A small percentage of these tumors were benign in terms of conventional medicine and were thus extracted from this study as to not influence the final results in Mr. Alex Orbito's benefit.

II Sickness related to mobility: 22 cases (23.2% of the total)

III Neurological: 17 cases (13,7% of the total)

IV Cardiology: 12 cases (9,7 % of the total).

V Urogenital : 12 cases (9,7% of the total)

VI Tractus digestivus : 5 cases (4% of the total)

VII Respiratory: 3 cases (2,4 % of the total)

VIII Endocrinology: 2 cases (1,6% of the total)

IX Ear, nose and throat: cases (1,6 % of the total)

X Infectious : 1 case (0,8 % of the total)

In total we are dealing with 124 cases, of which complete medical records are available both before and after treatment. As I mentioned before, we are mostly dealing with severe cases, which was also the motivator and stimulus to seek treatment with Alex Orbito.

B . THERAPEUTIC RESULTS:

My primary question aims at the results and effectiveness of the treatments of Alex Orbito. In order to determinate the effectiveness of the treatment, we compared the results of the conventional medicine and the eventual prognosis made by official medicine per patient in relation to the results of the treatments of Alex Orbito to this same patient.

With regard to those diagnosed with tumor(s), we took the lifespan after treatment as a result measurement whereby we concentrated only on malign tumors.

Although it would have been very interesting from purely medical-scientific point of view to investigate cancerous diseases, we decided to focus only on patients who had been diagnosed by the conventional medicine with terminal cancer.

Only this way we could be more objective and certain about the measurement and degree of effectiveness of Alex Orbito's treatments.

18 of the 48 cases diagnosed with tumors were not considered “infaust” (meaning: no more remedy available by medical standards) by conventional medicine and we therefore decided to leave these 18 cases out of this study as it would not give sufficient proof of effectiveness in a comparison to conventional medicine.

All remaining cases were “infaust” or terminal which means leading to death, yet without any certain indication of remaining lifespan. With this group of “infaust” cases, we decided to research the effectiveness of the method of treatment of Alex Orbito and study if their disease had in some way decreased or been cured.

C. COMPARISON QUA PROGNOSIS BETWEEN CONVENTIONAL MEDICINE AND TREATMENTS OF ALEX ORBITO.

1 Division of the study group according to prognosis criteria as defined by conventional medicine.

2. In our search to remain completely objective and neutral in our comparison, we only allowed 30 cases (of the 124) in the final study group, as they were all in possession of a clear prognosis made by conventional medicine.

Ad 1: Division of study group

Group 1

Of the 30 cases with a malign tumor, 8 had a uncertain prognosis in the sense that surgery had previously been suggested which advise the patients had not followed or declined. Although all these 8 cases showed a clear reduction and/or removal of the tumor, we decided nevertheless to remove this group from our studies thus ensuring a complete objectiveness about the effectiveness of the treatment with Alex Orbito.

Group 2.

In 10 cases (33%) of the patients, the prognosis was infaust (terminal) yet without giving any clear remaining life expectancy left.

Group 3

Of the remaining 12 patients (40%), the conventional medicine gave a infaust prognosis with a maximum remaining life expectancy of 4 months.

Ad 2: Results of comparison

We examined the outcome of these 3 groups, comparing the life expectancy span given by conventional medicine and the actual results after treatment with Alex Orbito.

The most important group of the above three categories to proof the effect of the treatment with Alex Orbito is logically group 3, provided we may use 5 years of continued lifespan as a cured health criteria vs the expected 4 months given by conventional medicine.

A total of 13 patients (in group 2 and 3) have surpassed the 5 years of continuous lifespan, which means that we will need to declare these patients as completely cured (which is also being backed up by medical files) according to the conventional medicine. We can therefore state with a 100% certitude that these patients who were treated more than 5 years ago by Alex Orbito, have been completely cured according to medical standards, against a 0% prognosis from conventional medicine.

The remaining 9 patients have been treated within the past 5 years of this study and we therefore cannot reach the same conclusion as above. Of this group of 9 patients we determined an average period of 3 years of continued health and extended life span versus the 4 months as prognosed by conventional medicine.

The is an enormous success rate and clearly proves the effectiveness of the treatment practiced by Alex Orbito.

The above studies deal with a selective group of people, namely those came forward on a voluntarily basis, to help this investigation . For this reason, I decided to include the studies of Dr. Lee Pulos, Ph.D, from Canada who studied the results of a large group of people diagnosed with terminal cancer.

2) Research Dr. Lee Pulos, Ph.D (Canada)

The research of Dr. Pulos shows that 60% of his terminal cancer patients eventually had a continuous lifespan of more than 5 years, which means officially cured according to medical standards.

3) Research of Sigrid Seutemann, homeopathic physician (Germany)

Her studies give a more detailed insight in the efficiency of the treatments since she includes a follow up period in her studies of 6 months after treatment. Below is a short summary of her extensive research results which included 1200 patients in total of which 216 did not complete all the required paperwork and were therefore excluded from the final study group.

Therefore the results of the remaining 984 patients who participated actively in this research were as follows:

1. 2,4 % had immediate or spontaneous cure
2. 12,2 % felt improvement within 2 weeks
3. 36,6 % felt improvement within the first month
4. 36,6 % felt improvement within 3 to 6 months
5. 12,2 % felt no improvement

These numbers clearly and irrevocably show the effectiveness of the treatments of Alex Orbito and go way beyond any possible placebo results.